A new approach to the chemical synthesis of the trisaccharide, and the terminal di- and mono-saccharide units of the major, serologically active glycoplipid from *Mycobacterium leprae* *

Anikó Borbás and András Lipták **

Department of Biochemistry, L. Kossuth University, P.O. Box 55, H-4010 Debrecen (Hungary) (Received March 19th, 1992; accepted August 22nd, 1992)

ABSTRACT

The key intermediates for the synthesis of p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl O-(3,6-di-O-methyl- β -p-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-(2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (15), as well as p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl O-(3,6-di-O-methyl- β -p-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (29), were the methyl and ethyl O-(2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl- β -p-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranosides (10 and 24). Dichloroalane treatment of 10 and 24 removed the diphenylmethylene group, liberating HO-2 and HO-3 of the rhamnopyranoside residue, and opened the benzylidene acetal regioselectively to give the 4-O-benzyl-glucopyranosyl disaccharides. Methylation of the free OH groups resulted in the tetra-O-methyl 1-thio disaccharides (12 and 26), useful as glycosyl donors. Introduction of these temporary blocking groups allowed a drastic reduction in the number of synthetic steps to the target compounds.

INTRODUCTION

Mycobacterium leprae and tissues infected by the bacterium contain a phenolic glycolipid^{1,2}. The aglycon is 29-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-3-methoxy-4-methyl-9,11-nonacosanediol 9,11-dimycocerosate, which is glycosylated with a trisaccharide, O-(3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-O-(2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 2)-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranose. Antibodies to the glycolipid, present in the sera of leprosy patients²⁻⁵, are of interest as diagnostic agents for leprosy infection at an early stage of the disease⁶⁻¹¹. Because this trisaccharide is the species-specific segment of the glycolipid, it inhibits interaction of the major glycolipid of Mycobacterium leprae with the Immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibody from human leprosy sera and, therefore, it can be used in the enzyme-linked

^{*} Dedicated to Professor F.W. Lichtenthaler on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

^{**} Corresponding author.

immunosorbent assay (ELISA). By conjugation of this trisaccharide with a protein, such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) using a suitable spacer, artificial antigens can be obtained to elicit specific antibodies. The prospect of a fully synthetic specific antigen for the worldwide scrodiagnosis of leprosy has initiated much preparative work to synthesise the trisaccharide unit^{8,12-16} or the disaccharide building blocks^{8,9,17-21}, and to study their conformation²². Now we report the synthesis of the trisaccharide, together with the terminal disaccharide and 3,6-di-O-methyl-p-glucose, in the form of their p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl glycosides, 15, 29, and 43, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Earlier syntheses of the trisaccharide hapten have employed a common strategy, namely, the partially methylated monosaccharide-type building blocks were synthesised and used either as glycosyl acceptor or glycosyl donor. The preparation of these partially methylated monosaccharide units required multistep synthesis, preparation of the trisaccharide, glycosidically linked to spacers, required as many as 30–37 steps. To reduce the number of steps, we developed the following strategy: (i) introduction of several methyl groups in one step, (ii) the use of benzyl or benzyl-equivalent blocking group(s), and (iii) synthesis of a spacer-containing trisaccharide hapten that could easily conjugate with BSA.

For the synthesis of the planned p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl O-(3,6-di-Omethyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -O-(2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow$ 2)-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside^{21,23} (15), a 2 + 1 synthetic scheme was chosen. For the preparation of the glycosyl acceptor 4, we started from p-nitrophenyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene- α -L-rhamnopyranoside²³ (1), but to improve the work-up procedure the 2,2-dimethoxypropane-p-toluenesulfonic acid²⁴ system was used without solvent, and crystallisation was achieved from cyclohexane. The acetal 1 was benzylated^{25,26} to obtain 2. The isopropylidene group from crystalline 2 was hydrolysed to obtain the amorphous diol 3. By using the well-known procedure developed previously 27 for the alkylation of the equatorial hydroxy group of an axial-equatorial diol system, p-nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (3) was converted into the 2,3-O-dibutylstannylidene derivative by treatment with dibutyltin oxide in benzene with azeotropic removal of water. The stannylidene intermediate reacted in situ with methyl iodide in N,N-dimethylformamide, to yield crystalline p-nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (4) whose structure was verified by the chemical shift value of the anomeric carbon in the ¹³C NMR spectrum.

As the disaccharide-type glycosyl donor, we selected a thioglycoside derivative. The recently prepared methyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside²⁸ (5) was glycosylated with 2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl bromide⁸ (6) in dichloromethane-toluene, using silver triflate as the promoter. The resulting disaccharide (7) was methanolysed, using an equimolar amount of

NaOCH₃ (since the 2'-OAc is extremely stable ^{29,30}), to obtain compound **8**. Benzylidenation of **8** gave **9**, which was benzylated to afford methyl O-(2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio- α -1-rhamnopyranoside (10). This fully protected disaccharide derivative (10) contains two acetal rings (benzylidene and diphenylmethylene) which show

completely different reactivity towards AlHCl₂; the dioxane-type benzylidene ring is hydrogenolysed to a 4'-benzyl ether-6'-hydroxy derivative³¹, but the diphenylmethylene acetal is removed completely and thus both hydroxyl groups are regenerated 32,33. Treatment of 10 with dichloroalane (LiAlH₄ + 3AlCl₃) in etherdichloromethane at reflux temperature gave two products in the ratio $\sim 8:2$. The major product proved to be the desired compound, methyl O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (11). Spectroscopic data showed the complete disappearance of both acetal rings, with the formation of three hydroxyl groups, one of which was assigned as HO-6' (δ 62 ppm). The minor product, assumed to be the 6'-O-benzyl-4'-hydroxy isomer, was not isolated. The formation of compound 11 with three free OH groups fulfilled our expectation, because simple methylation of 11 allowed the introduction of three methyl groups into the desired positions, to give methyl O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-di-O-methyl-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (12). The advantage of 12 is not only the presence of all four methoxy groups, but being a thioglycoside it should also serve as a glycosyl donor. Methyl triflate activation³⁴ of 12 and coupling with the aglycon 4 resulted exclusively in a 1,2-trans, α -rhamnopyranosyl linkage, although a non-participating group (OCH₃) was present at position C-2. The trisaccharide derivative (13) contained only benzyl protecting groups, and the aglycon was substituted with a nitro group. Our original plan, that we could possibly remove all benzyl groups and reduce the aglycon to a p-aminophenyl moiety in one step, failed; the yield was extremely low and many degradation products were formed. Similar observations were reported by Ekborg et al.³⁵.

To overcome this difficulty, the nitro group in 13 was reduced using Adams' catalyst, and the resulting amino group was acylated with trifluoroacetic anhydride to obtain the *p*-trifluoroacetamidophenyl glycoside (14) of the blocked haptenic trisaccharide. In this case, removal of the benzyl groups from 14 proceeded very smoothly and the desired target compound (15) was obtained. The conjugation of these types of glycosides to proteins is well-documented.

The "bottle-neck" of the whole procedure is the preparation of compound 5, because the isolation of the syrupy methyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside ²⁸ requires chromatographic purification. At the same time, ethyl 1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside derivatives ^{37–39} are easily obtainable. When 1,2,3,4-tetra-O-acetyl- α -L-rhamnopyranose was treated with ethanethiol in the presence of 1.0 equivalent of SnCl₄, a very fast reaction occurred, and two anomers were formed which could be separated by simple crystallisation. Thus, ethyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-thio- β -L-rhamnopyranoside (16) crystallised from ethanol, and crystalline ethyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (17) was obtained from the filtrate in 60.9% yield after concentration; upon deacetylation, crystalline ethyl 1-thio- β -L- (18) and syrupy ethyl 1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (19) were prepared. Compound 19 was treated with dichlorodiphenylmethane in pyridine and crystalline ethyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (20) was iso-

lated. The acetal 20 was glycosylated with 6, as described for the preparation of disaccharide 7, to give compound 21; deacetylation then gave 22. Benzylidenation $(\rightarrow 23)$, benzylation $(\rightarrow 24)$, hydrogenolysis with dichloroalane $(\rightarrow 25)$, and methylation resulted in the glycosyl donor 26. This glycosyl donor was also used for the preparation of compound 13.

The introduction of the diphenylmethylene acetal function, as a temporary protecting group, and its simultaneous reduction together with the benzylidene ring drastically reduced the number of synthetic steps required for the preparation of the haptenic trisaccharide, demonstrating the importance of the protecting group strategy in the synthesis of complex oligosaccharides.

For comparative immunological investigations, we decided upon the synthesis of the terminal disaccharide, as well as of the terminal monosaccharide glycosides with the same spacer. For this reason, p-trifluoroacetamidophenol (27) was glycosylated with the thioglycoside 26 (activated by methyl triflate) to give 28 in 80% yield. The benzyl groups were removed by catalytic hydrogenation and the spacer-containing p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl O-(3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (29) was obtained in nearly quantitative yield.

HO—NHCOCF₃ + 26 — RO
$$CH_2OCH_3$$
 H_3C OCH_3 OC

For the synthesis of p-trifluoroacetamidophenyl 3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (43), 1,2,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranose⁴⁰ (30) was selected as the starting compound. Coupling of 30 with p-nitrophenol was achieved using SnCl₄ as catalyst⁴¹, and both isomers were isolated (in a ratio of 3:7) in favour of p-nitrophenyl 2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (32). The corresponding α anomer (31) was also a crystalline compound. Both glycosides were O-deacetylated and the crystalline aromatic glycosides (33 and 34) were isolated and characterised. The β anomer (34) was treated with 0.9 equivalent of methyl iodide in N,N-dimethylformamide in the presence of powdered KOH, and the main product was isolated in a yield of 57%. Surprisingly, this compound proved to be p-nitrophenyl 2,3-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (35) instead of the desired 3,6-di-O-methyl derivative. A very similar product distribution was found when NaH was used instead of KOH. The unambiguous structure proof of 35 was based on 13 C and 1 H NMR spectroscopy, as well as on proton-carbon correlated spectra.

To overcome the unexpected high reactivity of HO-2 of 34, it was isopropylidenated to obtain crystalline 36 (83%). The free HO-2 in 36 was benzylated (\rightarrow 37),

$$CH_2OAC$$
 CH_2OAC
 CH_2OR
 RO
 OAC
 OAC

and the isopropylidene group was removed by acid hydrolysis, resulting in crystalline 38. Methylation of the latter with 0.9 equivalent of methyl iodide gave a rather complex reaction mixture. The main product, isolated in a yield of 41%, was p-nitrophenyl 2-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (39); the desired 3,6-di-O-methyl derivative was present in a yield of only 10-12%. In view of this unusual selectivity, 38 was benzylated and p-nitrophenyl 2,4-di-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (40) was isolated in 39% yield. Methylation (\rightarrow 41), reduction of the nitro group, and trifluoroacetylation of the resulting amine gave compound 42, from which the benzyl groups were removed by catalytic reduction to afford the desired target compound (43) in crystalline form.

The unexpected low reactivity of HO-6 in the case of p-nitrophenyl β -p-glucopyranoside derivatives is under investigation.

EXPERIMENTAL

General methods.—Solutions were concentrated at 40°C (bath) under diminished pressure. Chromatography was performed on Kieselgel 60. Optical rotations were measured with a Perkin-Elmer 241 automatic polarimeter at room tempera-

ture in CHCl₃. The ¹H (200 and 400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (50.3 and 100 MHz) spectra were recorded with Bruker WP-200 SY and Varian XLA-400 spectrometers for solutions in CDCl₃ (internal Me₄Si). Melting points were determined on a Kofler apparatus and are uncorrected. TLC was performed on Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck) with A 3:2, B 7:3, C 95:5, D 97:3, E 98:2 CH₂Cl₂-acetone; F 1:1, G 3:2, H 7:3, I 4:1 hexane–EtOAc; K 9:1 and L 95:5 CH₂Cl₂-MeOH; with detection by charring with H₂SO₄.

p-Nitrophenyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (1).—p-Nitrophenyl α -L-rhamnopyranoside (5.4 g, 0.018 mol) was dissolved in 2,2-dimethoxypropane (35 mL, 0.28 mol), p-toluenesulfonic acid (20 mg) was added, and the mixture was stirred overnight. Then the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (500 mL), neutralised with NaHCO₃ (200 mg), washed with water, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue (5.6 g) crystallised from cyclohexane (35 mL) to give 1 (4.8 g, 81.8%); mp 108–109°C, lit.²³ 107–108°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –124.6° (c 0.75); lit.²³ –128° (c 1.1); TLC (C): R_f 0.46. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₉NO₇: C, 55.38; H, 5.88. Found: C, 55.43; H, 5.97.

p-Nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl-2,3-O-isopropylidene-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (2).—To a stirred solution of 1 (4.2 g, 0.012 mol) in dry DMF (20 mL) were added 2 equiv of powdered KOH (2 g) and 1.2 equiv of benzyl bromide (2 mL, 2.86 g) at 0°C. After complete conversion (4 h), the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (500 mL), the KOH was filtered off, and the filtrate was washed with water (3 × 80 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue crystallised from 4:1 cyclohexane-n-hexane (25 mL) to give 2 (3.6 g, 72.2%); mp 89-90°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ - 109.05° (c 0.54); TLC (C): R_f 0.60; ¹H NMR: δ 8.21 and 7.14 (2 d, each 2 H, p-NO₂Ph), 7.38-7.25 (m, 5 H, Ph), 5.80 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.92 and 4.65 (2 d, 2 H, J_{gem} 11.5 Hz, OC H_2 Ph), 4.48-4.36 (m, 2 H), 3.72 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.30 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 6.5, $J_{4,5}$ 10 Hz, H-4), 1.55 and 1.43 [2 s, each 3 H, C(CH₃)₂], and 1.22 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₅NO₇: C, 63.60; H, 6.06. Found: C, 63.63, H, 6.11.

p-Nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (3).—A solution of 2 (3.6 g, 9.6 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was treated at room temperature with trifluoroacetic acid (10 mL) containing 1% of water, and was monitored by TLC (C). After 30 min, disappearance of starting material indicated complete reaction. The solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (400 mL), washed successively with aq 10% NaHCO₃ and water, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (C) to give 3 (2.0 g, 96.8%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 130.67^{\circ}$ (c 0.64); TLC (C): R_f 0.38; ¹³C NMR: δ 97.54 (C-1), 80.94 (C-4), 75.02 (OCH₂Ph), 71.08 (C-3), 70.53 (C-2), 68.71 (C-5), and 17.88 (C-6). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₁NO₇: C, 60.79; H, 5.64. Found: C, 60.84; H, 5.68.

p-Nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl-α-1.-rhamnopyranoside (4).—A solution of 3 (2.0 g, 5.3 mmol) and dibutyltin oxide (6.0 mmol, 1.5 g) in benzene (20 mL) was refluxed in a Dean and Stark apparatus. After 3 h, the benzene was distilled off, DMF (20 mL) and MeI (2 mL, 0.03 mol) were added and the mixture was stirred overnight at 50°C, then diluted with water, and extracted with diethyl ether. The

extract was dried (K_2CO_3) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in solvent E (200 mL), filtered through a layer of Celite, and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (E) to give 4 (1.2 g, 58.1%) (a by-product, p-nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl-2-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside was detected by TLC (R_f 0.20, \sim 5%), but was not isolated). Compound 4 had [α] $_D^{20}$ – 165.3° (c 0.72); TLC (E): R_f 0.30; 1 H NMR: δ 8.24–7.08 (m, 9 H, p-NO $_2$ Ph and Ph), 5.67 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 2 Hz, H-1), 4.88 and 4.64 (2 d, 2 H, J_{gem} 11 Hz, OC H_2 Ph), 4.28 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 3.5 Hz, H-2), 3.77 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz, H-3), 3.71 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.59 (s, 3 H, OCH $_3$), 3.50 (t, 1 H, H-4), 2.90 (s, 1 H, OH), and 1.43 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6); 13 C NMR: δ 97.21 (C-1), 81.23, 79.35 (C-3,4), 75.33 (OCH $_2$ Ph), 68.62, 67.51 (C-2,5), 57.70 (OCH $_3$), and 17.85 (C-6). Anal. Calcd for C $_{20}$ H $_{23}$ NO $_7$: C, 61.69; H, 5.95. Found: C, 61.67; H, 6.03.

Methyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-4-O-(2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl-\(\beta\)-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (7).—A solution of silver triflate (2.5 g, 10 mmol) in 3:2 CH₂Cl₂-toluene (30 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred mixture of 5 (1.2 g, 3.34 mmol), 6 (1.92 g, 5 mmol), and 4A molecular sieves (2 g) in toluene (20 mL) at -40° C under Ar. The mixture was stirred for 30 min in the dark, and TLC (C) then revealed the disappearance of 5. Pyridine (5 mL) and CH₂Cl₃ (80 mL) were added, and the mixture was filtered through a layer of Celite. The filtrate was washed with aq 10% sodium thiosulfate (50 mL) and water (2 \times 50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (C) to give 7 as a white foam (1.70 g, 77.0%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -109.9° (c 0.85); TLC (C): R_f 0.61; ¹H NMR: δ 7.61–7.23 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.53 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4 .99 (t, 1 H, $J_{3'4'}$ 9 Hz, H-4'), 4.88 (t, 1 H, $J_{1'2'}$ 8 Hz, H-2'), 4.44 (d, 1 H, H-1'), 4.29 (dd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 6, J_{3,4} 8 Hz, H-3), 4.09 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.00 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6a'}$ 7.5, J_{gem} 12 Hz, H-6a'), 3.91 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.80 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6b'}$ 3 Hz, H-6b'), 3.47–3.31 (m, 2 H), 3.41 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.06 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.22, 2.09, 2.07 and 2.01 (4 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc and SCH₃), and 1.17 (d, 3 H, J_{56} 6 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 170.41, 169.34, and 169.21 (CO), 109.03 (C-ac), 100.79 (C-1'), 80.68 (C-1), 58.41 (OCH_3) , 20.94, 20.70, and 20.56 $(COCH_3)$, 13.12 (SCH_3) . Anal. Calcd for C₃₃H₄₀O₁₂S: C, 59.98; H, 6.10. Found: C, 60.01; H, 6.17.

Methyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-4-O-(3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (8).—Sodium methoxide (50 mg) was added to a solution of 7 (1.6 g, 2.4 mmol) in MeOH (30 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight, then neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) ion-exchange resin, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*L*) to give 8 (1.3 g, 96%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 165.3^\circ$ (*c* 0.32); TLC (*L*): $R_f = 0.38$; ¹H NMR: δ 7.58–7.20 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.56 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.43 (m, 2 H, H-1', 3), 4.11 (d, 1 H, $I_{2,3} = 0$ Hz, H-2), 3.97 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.67 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.63–3.41 (m, 4 H), 3.34 (dd, 1 H, $I_{3,4} = 0$ NHz, H-4), 3.11 (t, 1 H, $I_{2',3'} = 0$ Hz, H-3'), 2.92 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.11 (s, 3 H, SCH₃), 1.21 (d, 3 H, $I_{5,6} = 0$ Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 109.43 (C-ac), 102.10 (C-1'), 80.89 (C-1), 60.53 (OCH₃), and 13.16 (SCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₄O₉S: C, 60.66; H, 6.41. Found: C, 60.57; H, 6.33.

Methyl 4-O-(4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-O-diphenyl-methylene-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (9).—A mixture of **8** (620 mg, 1.16 mmol), α,α-dimethoxytoluene (2 mL), and a catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid was stirred for 1 h, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL), NaHCO₃ (4 g) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h. The organic layer was washed with water (3 × 20 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (E) to give **9** (600 mg, 83.0%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 156.9° (c 0.31); TLC (E): R_f 0.46; ¹H NMR: δ 7.60–7.22 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph), 5.56 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.49 (s, 1 H, H-ac), 4.62 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 8 Hz, H-1'), 4.48 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 6, $J_{3,4}$ 8 Hz, H-3), 4.13 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.08–3.90 (m, 2 H), 3.68 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.65–3.35 (m, 5 H), 3.04 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.78 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.10 (s, 3 H, SCH₃), and 1.20 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 102.20 (C-ac'), 101.32 (C-1'), 81.07 (C-1), 60.78 (OCH₃), and 13.23 (SCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₃₈O₉S: C, 65.58; H, 6.15. Found: C, 65.66; H, 6.10.

Methyl 4-O-(2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (10).—To a stirred solution of 9 (600 mg, 0.96 mmol) in dry DMF (2 mL) were added 4 equiv of powdered KOH (220 mg) and 2 equiv of benzyl bromide (0.17 mL). After 2 h the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL), the KOH was filtered off, and the filtrate was washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*I*) to give 10 as a yellow foam (520 mg, 75.9%); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –114.25° (*c* 0.41); TLC (*I*): R_f 0.43; ¹H NMR; δ 7.59–7.20 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph), 5.56 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.49 (s, 1 H, H-ac), 4.94 and 4.75 (2 d, 2 H, J_{gem} 11 Hz, OC H_2 Ph), 4.83 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 8 Hz, H-1'), 4.39 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 6, $J_{3,4}$ 8 Hz, H-3), 4.18–4.08 (m, 2 H, H-2,5), 4.03–3.90 (m, 2 H), 3.64 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.62–3.40 (m, 3 H), 3.24 (t, 1 H), 3.01 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.13 (s, 3 H, SCH₃), and 1.21 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for C₄₁H₄₄O₉S: C, 69.08; H, 6.22. Found: C, 69.13; H, 6.14.

Methyl 4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (11).—To a stirred solution of 10 (800 mg, 1.12 mmol) in 1:1 diethyl ether-CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) were added 4 equiv of LiAlH₄ (180 mg) and 12 equiv of AlCl₃ (1.8 g). The mixture was refluxed for 2 h, then diluted with diethyl ether (30 mL), and the excess of LiAlH₄ was decomposed by successive addition of EtOAc and water. The organic layer was washed with water (30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*B*) to give 11 (400 mg, 64.9%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 98.7^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.23); TLC (*B*): R_f 0.52; ¹H NMR: δ 7.42–7.23 (m, 10 H, 2 PH), 5.10 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.94–4.55 (m, 5 H, H-1' and 2 OCH₂Ph), 4.02–3.21 (m, 10 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.60 (s, 3 H, 3 OH), 2.12 (s, 3 H, SCH₃), and 1.30 (d, 3 H, $I_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 103.49 (C-1'), 82.26 (C-1), 75.43 and 74.96 (2 OCH₂Ph), 61.80 (C-6'), 60.93 (OCH₃), and 13.48 (SCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₈O₉S: C, 61.10; H, 6.95. Found: C, 61.03; H, 6.88.

Methyl 4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-1-thio- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (12).—To a stirred solution of 11 (400 mg,

0.73 mmol) in dry DMF were added powdered KOH (370 mg) and MeI (0.45 mL). After 5 h TLC (C) indicated complete conversion. The mixture was diluted with CH $_2$ Cl $_2$ (80 mL), washed with water until neutral, dried (Na $_2$ SO $_4$), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (C) to give 12 (290 mg, 67.3%); [α] $_D^{20}$ – 79.3° (c 0.11); TLC (C): R_f 0.45; 1 H NMR: δ 7.43–7.21 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.29 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.97-4.58 (m, 5 H, H-1' and 2 OC H_2 Ph), 4.11 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.87–3.21 (m, 9 H), 3.64, 3.48, 3.37, and 3.32 (4 s, each 3 H, 4 OCH $_3$), 2.11 (s, 3 H, SCH $_3$), and 1.35 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6); 13 C NMR: δ 102.96 (C-1'), 81.28 (C-1), 74.70 and 74.50 (2 OCH $_2$ Ph), 61.04, 59.50, 58.14, and 56.97 (4 OCH $_3$), and 13.75 (SCH $_3$). Anal. Calcd for C $_{31}$ H $_{44}$ O $_9$ S: C, 62.81; H, 7.48. Found: C, 62.87; H, 7.56.

p-Nitrophenyl 4-O-benzyl-2-O-[4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl-β-D-gluco-pyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl]-3-O-methyl-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (13).—To a stirred mixture of 12 (200 mg, 0.32 mmol), 4 (140 mg 0.34 mmol), and 4A molecular sieves (1.5 g) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) were added 10 equiv of methyl triflate (0.36 mL) under Ar. After 20 h, TLC (*C*) indicated disappearance of 12. Triethylamine (0.5 mL) was then added, and the mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (80 mL), filtered through a layer of Celite, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*C*) to give 13 (180 mg, 56.7%); mp 186–188°C (from EtOH); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 73.5° (*c* 0.20); TLC (*C*): R_f 0.48; ¹H NMR: δ 8.22 and 7.17 (2 d, each 2 H, *p*-NO₂Ph), 7.40–7.23 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph), 5.64 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.18 (s, 1 H, H-1'), 4.95–4.58 (m, 7 H, H-1" and 3 OC H_2 Ph), 4.23 (dd, 1 H), 3.90–3.10 (m, 13 H), 3.63, 3.58, 3.37, 3.31, and 3.24 (5 s, each 3 H, 5 OCH₃), 1.39 and 1.29 (2 d, each 3 H, H-6,6'); ¹³C NMR: δ 102.69 (C-1"), 99.05 (C-1'), 97.28 (C-1), 61.10, 59.50, 58.95, 58.12, and 57.24 (5 OCH₃).

The coupling reaction starting from compounds 4 and 26, using the above method, gave 13 with the same yield. Anal. Calcd for $C_{50}H_{63}NO_{16}$: C, 64.29; H, 6.79. Found: C, 64.37; H, 6.87.

p-Trifluoroacetamidophenyl 4-O-benzyl-2-O-[4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl]-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (14).—A solution of 13 (150 mg, 0.16 mol) in EtOAc (10 mL) was hydrogenated over Adams' catalyst (30 mg) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 1 h, the reduction of the nitro group was complete (TLC in C, R_f 0.45), then trifluoroacetic anhydride (0.2 mL) and pyridine (0.5 mL) were added, and the reaction mixture was kept at 60°C for 30 min, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. A solution of the residue in CH_2Cl_2 (70 mL) was washed with water, dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated to give syrupy 14 (150 mg), which was used for the next step without any purification.

p-Trifluoroacetamidophenyl 2-O-/4-O-(3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl]-3-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (15).—A solution of 14 (150 mg) in EtOH (10 mL) was hydrogenated at room temperature and atmospheric pressure over 10% Pd-C (30 mg). When TLC (A) indicated complete conversion (10 h), the mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue

was purified by column chromatography (*A*) to give **15** (87 mg, 75.3% for two steps); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 62.87^\circ$ (*c* 0.62); TLC (*A*): R_f 0.27; ¹H NMR: δ 8.19 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.52 and 7.07 (2 d, each 2 H, *p*-NHCOCF₃Ph), 5.46 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 2 Hz, H-1), 5.10 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 1.5 Hz, H-1'), 4.41 (d, 1 H, $J_{1'',2''}$ 8 Hz, H-1"), 3.78–3.17 (m, 13 H), 3.68, 3.54, 3.51, 3.50, and 3.38 (5 s, each 3 H, 5 OCH₃), 3.17 (t, 1 H), 1.34 and 1.27 (2 d, each 3 H, H-6,6'); ¹³C NMR: δ 105.49 (C-1"), 98.57 (C-1'), 97.38 (C-1), 60.38, 59.49, 58.96, 57.63, and 56.49 (5 OCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₃₁H₄₆F₃NO₁₅: C, 51.03; H, 6.35. Found: C, 51.07; H, 6.28.

Ethyl 2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-1-thio-β- and -α-L-rhamnopyranoside (16 and 17).— Ethanethiol (7.2 mL, 0.10 mol) and SnCl₄ (11.5 mL, 0.10 mol) were added to a stirred solution of 1,2,3,4-tetra-*O*-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranose (30.5 g, 0.09 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) at 0°C. After 30 min, TLC (*H*) indicated 90% conversion and the presence of a mixture 16 and 17 in the ratio 1:5. The mixture was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (500 mL), washed successively with water and aq 10% NaHCO₃ until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue crystallised from EtOH (40 mL) to give 16 (4.3 g, 14.0%); mp 166–167°C, lit.³⁸ 163–164°C; [α]_D²⁰ +70.5° (*c* 0.71), lit.³⁸ [α]_D²⁵ +70.5° (*c* 0.9); TLC (*H*): R_f 0.34; ¹H NMR: δ 5.50 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 1, $J_{2,3}$ 3 Hz, H-2), 5.10 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz, H-4), 5.02 (dd, 1 H, H-3), 4.76 (d, 1 H, H-1), 3.56 (m, 1 H, H-5), 2.74 (q, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 2.19, 2.06, 1.98 (3 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc), 1.32 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6), and 1.28 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃).

The mother liquid was concentrated and the residue recrystallised from EtOH (10 mL) to give 17 (18.7 g, 60.9%); mp 66-68°C, lit.³⁸ 69-70°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ - 113.5° (c 0.78), lit.³⁸ $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ - 115° (c 2); TLC (H): R_f 0.44; ¹H NMR: δ 5.34 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 1.5, $J_{2,3}$ 3.5 Hz, H-2), 5.28-5.19 (m, 2 H, H-1,3), 5.10 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9.5 Hz, H-4), 4.24 (m, 1 H, H-5), 2.64 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), 2.17, 2.16, 1.98 (3 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc), 1.30 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), and 1.24 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{22}O_7S$: C, 50.28; H, 6.63. Found: C, 50.21; H, 6.55.

Ethyl 1-thio-β-L-rhamnopyranoside (18).—A stirred solution of 16 (2.0 g, 5.98 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was treated with NaOMc (20 mg). After complete conversion (TLC in K), the solution was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) ion-exchange resin, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue crystallised from EtOH to give 18 (1.1 g, 88.3%); mp 145–146°C, lit. ³⁸ 145°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20} + 130.5^\circ$ (c 1.18, MeOH), lit. ³⁸ $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 123.5^\circ$ (c 0.8, MeOH); TLC (K): R_f 0.36; ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 4.85 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.03 (d, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 3.5 Hz, H-2), 3.62 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz, H-3), 3.52–3.33 (m, 2 H), 2.75 (q, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), and 1.34–1.23 (m, 6 H, H-6 and SCH₂CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₆O₄S: C, 46.13; H, 7.74. Found: C, 46.04; H, 7.79.

Ethyl 1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (19).—Compound 19 was prepared from 17 by the above method used for conversion of 16 into 18, with 89.7% yield. The syrupy 19 had $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 223.4° (c 0.75, MeOH), lit.³⁸ $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ – 229.5° (c 1.3, MeOH); TLC (K): R_f 0.41; ¹H NMR (D_2O): δ 5.25 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.12–3.97 (m, 2 H), 3.75 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 3, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz, H-3), 3.42 (t, 1 H, H-4), 2.68 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), and

1.34–1.23 (m, 6 H, H-6 and SCH_2CH_3). Sugar analysis, as described above for 18, gave the same results for 19.

Ethyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (20).—To a solution of 19 (3.1 g, 0.015 mol) in dry pyridine (20 mL) were added 2 equiv of dichlorodiphenylmethane (5.7 mL). The mixture was stirred for 4 days at 110°C (TLC, solvent *C*). The dark-red solution was then poured onto crushed ice, and, after 1 h, the residue was diluted with CH_2CI_2 , washed with 0.5 M H_2SO_4 , then with water until neutral, dried (Na_2SO_4), and concentrated. The dark-red residue was passed through a short column of silica gel (*E*). The appropriate fractions were combined and concentrated to give 20 (73%); mp 99–100°C (from cyclohexane); [α]_D²⁰ – 188.3° (*c* 0.52); TLC (*C*): R_f 0.63; ¹H NMR: δ 7.58–7.24 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.70 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.28 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 6, $J_{3,4}$ 7.5 Hz, H-3), 4.0 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.00 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.40 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 10 Hz, H-4), 2.60 (m, 2 H, SCH_2CH_3), 1.30 (t, 3 H, SCH_2CH_3), and 1.24 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6.5 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 143.11–125.76 (2 Ph), 109.48 (C-ac), 79.42 (C-1), 79.09 (C-3), 77.17 (C-2), 74.78 (C-4), 66.08 (C-5), 24.47 (SCH_2CH_3), 17.18 (C-6), and 14.61 (SCH_2CH_3). Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_4S$: C, 67.72; H, 6.49. Found: C, 67.80; H, 6.51.

Ethyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-4-O-(2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-gluco-pyranosyl)-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (21).—The method used for the synthesis of 7 was applied to couple 6 to 20, to give 21. Compound 21 (85.7%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 138.7° (c 0.64); TLC (E): R_f 0.28; ¹H NMR: δ 7.61–7.24 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.67 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.96 (t, 1 H, $J_{3',4'}$ 9.5 Hz, H-4'), 4.91 (t, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 8 Hz, H-2'), 4.43 (d, 1 H, H-1'), 4.28 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 5.5, $J_{3,4}$ 8 Hz, H-3), 4.10 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.04 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6a'}$ 5, J_{gem} 12 Hz, H-6a'), 3.96 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 10, $J_{5,6}$ 6.5 Hz, H-5), 3.79 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5',6b'}$ 2.5 Hz, H-6b'), 3.47–3.31 (m, 2 H, H-3' and H-4), 3.41 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.05 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.57 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), 2.23, 2.08, and 2.01 (3 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc), 1.28 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), and 1.17 (d, 3 H, H-6). Anal. Calcd for: $C_{34}H_{42}O_{12}S$: C, 60.52; H, 6.27. Found: C, 60.57; H, 6.19.

Ethyl 2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-4-O-(3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (22).—The method used for the conversion of 7 into 8 was applied to 21, to give 22. Compound 22 (91.3%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20} = 162.3^\circ$ (c=0.74); TLC (B): $R_f=0.35$; ¹H NMR: δ 7.60–7.24 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.68 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.44 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}=8$ Hz, H-1'), 4.43 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}=6$, $J_{3,4}=8$ Hz, H-3), 4.12 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.01 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.67 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.62–3.45 (m, 4 H), 3.34 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}=10$ Hz, H-4), 3.12 (t, 1 H, $J_{2',3'}=9$ Hz, H-3'), 2.92 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.59 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), 1.29 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), and 1.20 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}=6$ Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{36}O_9$ S: C, 61.29; H, 6.61. Found: C, 61.37; H, 6.55.

Ethyl 4-O-(4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-O-diphenyl-methylene-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (23).—The method used for the conversion of 8 into 9 was applied to 22, to give 23. Compound 23 (87.4%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 162.9^\circ$ (c 0.95); TLC (H): R_f 0.41; ¹H NMR; δ 7.60–7.25 (m, 15 H, 3 Ph), 5.69 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.49 (s, 1 H, H-ac), 4.62 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1'), 4.47 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 6 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 8 Hz, H-3), 4.13 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.09–3.91 (m, 2 H), 3.68 (s, 3 H, OCH₃),

3.65–3.36 (m, 5 H), 3.03 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.59 (m, 3 H, SCH_2CH_3 and OH), 1.29 (t, 3 H, SCH_2CH_3), and 1.20 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{35}H_{40}O_9S$: C, 66.02; H, 6.33. Found: C, 66.13; H, 6.37.

Ethyl 4-O-(2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-O-diphenylmethylene-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (24).—The method used for the conversion of 9 into 10 was applied to 23, to give 24. Compound 24 (84.7%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20}-139.3^\circ$ (c 0.79); TLC (H): R_f 0.62; ¹H NMR: δ 7.59–7.23 (m, 20 H, 4 Ph), 5.69 (s, 1 H, H-1), 5.49 (s, 1 H, H-ac), 4.93 and 4.77 (2 d, 2 H, $J_{\rm gem}$ 11 Hz, OC H_2 Ph), 4.84 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 8 Hz, H-1'), 4.39 (t, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 6, $J_{3,4}$ 8 Hz, H-3), 4.10 (d, 1 H, H-2), 4.05–3.90 (m, 2 H), 3.64 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.62–3.42 (m, 4 H), 3.26 (t, 1 H), 3.01 (m, 1 H, H-5'), 2.60 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH₃), 1.30 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃), and 1.19 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{42}H_{46}O_9$ S: C, 69.40; H, 6.38. Found: C, 69.46; H, 6.44.

Ethyl 4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-thio-α-L-rham-nopyranoside (25).—The method used for the conversion of 10 into 11 was applied to 24, to give 25. Compound 25 (72.5%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 120.3^\circ$ (c 0.53); TLC (B): R_f 0.51; 1 H NMR: δ 7.41–7.26 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.22 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.95–4.56 (m, 5 H, H-1' and 2 OCH₂Ph), 4.09–3.20 (m, 10 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H, OCH₃). 2.80 (s, 1 H, OH), 2.60 (m, 2 H, SCH₂CH₃), 1.90 (s, 2 H, 2 OH), 1.31 (d, 3 H, $I_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6), and 1.29 (t, 3 H, SCH₂CH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₄₀O₉S: C, 61.71; H, 7.12. Found: C, 61.73; H, 7.12.

Ethyl 4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-1-thio-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (26).—The method used for the conversion of 11 into 12 was applied to 25, to give 26. Compound 26 (88.7%) had $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 74.6^\circ$ (c 0.83); TLC (H): R_f 0.32; ¹H NMR: δ 7.44–7.24 (m, 10 H, 2 Ph), 5.40 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.95–4.58 (m, 5 H, H-1' and 2 OC H_2 Ph), 4.00 (m, 1 H), 3.79–3.23 (m, 9 H), 3.63, 3.52, 3.47, and 3.36 (4 s, each 3 H, 4 OCH 3), 2.67 (m, 2 H, SC H_2 CH 3), 1.30 (t, 3 H, SC H_2 C H_3), and 1.28 (d, 3 H, I_3 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for C 32 I_4 6 O S: C, 63.34; H, 7.64. Found: C, 63.38; H, 7.67.

p-Trifluoroacetamidophenol (27).—A solution of p-nitrophenol (5 g, 0.036 mol) in abs EtOH (50 mL) was hydrogenated over Pd-C (400 mg) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 3 h, the mixture was filtered through a layer of Celite under Ar, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo under Ar. The solid residue was treated with 2 equiv of trifluoroacetic anhydride (5.4 mL) in trifluoroacetic acid (7.5 mL). The mixture was stirred; after 1 h, the crystalline product had precipitated. The mixture was poured onto crushed ice, and the precipitate was filtered off and washed with water. The crude product was recrystallised from water (35 mL) to give 27 (3.8 g, 51.5%) as white needles, mp 167–169°C. Anal. Calcd for C₈H₆F₃NO₂: C, 46.84; H, 2.95. Found: C, 46.89; H, 2.88.

p-Trifluoroacetamidophenyl 4-O-(2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-gluco-pyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (28).—To a stirred solution of 26 (300 mg, 0.49 mmol), 27 (150 mg, 0.74 mmol), and 4A molecular sieves (500 mg) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) were added 10 equiv of methyl triflate (0.60 mL) under Ar.

After complete conversion (5 h), triethylamine (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ (50 mL), filtered through a layer of Celite, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*H*) to give **28** (306 mg, 84%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 60.6^{\circ}$ (*c* 0.56); TLC (*H*): R_f 0.20; ¹H NMR: δ 8.20 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.54–7.10 (m, 14 H, *p*-NHCOCF₃Ph and 2 Ph), 5.54 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 1.5 Hz, H-1), 4.93, 4.82, 4.73, and 4.61 (4 d, 4 H, each J_{gem} 11 Hz, 2 OC H_2 Ph), 4.76 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1') 3.78–3.19 (m, 10 H), 3.63, 3.54, 3.40, and 3.37 (4 s, each 3 H, 4 OCH₃), and 1.29 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38}\mathrm{H_{46}F_3NO_{11}}$: C, 61.28; H, 6.22. Found: C, 61.19; H, 6.28.

p-*Trifluoroacetamidophenyl* 4-O-(3,6-di-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-2,3-di-O-methyl-α-L-rhamnopyranoside (29).—A solution of 28 (100 mg, 0.13 mmol) in EtOH (10 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd–C (20 mg) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 10 h, TLC (*B*) indicated complete conversion, and the mixture was filtered through a layer of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*B*) to give 29 as a syrup (70 mg, 92%); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 70.8^\circ$ (*c* 0.51); TLC (*B*): R_f 0.33; ¹H NMR: δ 8.48 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.52 and 7.05 (2 d, each 2 H, *p*-NHCOCF₃Ph), 5.54 (s, 1 H, H-1), 4.45 (d, 1 H, $J_{1',2'}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1'), 3.90–3.40 (m, 9 H), 3.67, 3.57, 3.54, and 3.38 (4 s, each 3 H, 4 OCH₃), 3.18 (t, 1 H), 2.21 (s, 2 H, 2 OH), and 1.29 (d, 3 H, $J_{5,6}$ 6 Hz, H-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{24}H_{34}F_3NO_{11}$: C, 51.06; H, 6.07. Found: C, 51.12; H, 6.14.

p-Nitrophenyl 2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-3-O-methyl- α - and - β -D-glucopyranoside (31 and 32).—p-Nitrophenol (3.28 g, 0.023 mol) and SnCl₄ (2.76 mL, 0.023 mol) were added to a stirred solution of 30 (8.5 g, 0.023 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) at room temperature. After 2 h, TLC (F) indicated complete conversion and the presence of an α : β anomeric mixture in the ratio 1:5. The solution was diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (500 mL), washed successively with aq 10% NaHCO₃ and water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The anomeric mixture was fractionated by column chromatography (G) to give 31 and 32.

Compound **31** (1.4 g, 13.8%) had mp 123–124°C (form cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ + 185.8° (c 1.11); TLC (F): R_f 0.57; ¹H NMR: δ 8.23 and 7.20 (2 d, each 2 H, p-NO $_2$ Ph), 5.83 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.5 Hz, H-1), 5.10 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 10 Hz, H-4), 4.97 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 10 Hz, H-2), 4.19 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 5, J_{gem} 12.5 Hz, H-6a), 4.02 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 2 Hz, H-6b), 3.94 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.92 (t, 1 H, H-3), 3.55 (s, 3 H, OCH $_3$), 2.13, 2.12, and 2.01 (3 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}NO_{11}$: C, 51.70; H, 5.25. Found: C, 51.78; H, 5.33.

Compound **32** (6.8 g, 65.6%) had mp 120–122°C (from cyclohexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 58.2° (c 0.60); TLC (F): R_f 0.42; ¹H NMR: δ 8.21 and 7.06 (2 m, each 2 H, p-NO₂Ph), 5.30 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5, $J_{2,3}$ 9.5 Hz, H-2), 5.15 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9.5 Hz, H-4), 5.12 (d, 1 H, H-1), 4.24 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 6, J_{gem} 12.5 Hz, H-6a), 4.16 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 3 Hz, H-6b), 3.83 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.61 (t, 1 H, H-3), 3.46 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 2.07–2.12 (3 s, each 3 H, 3 OAc). Sugar analysis, as described above for **31**, gave the same results for **32**.

p-Nitrophenyl 3-O-methyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (33).—A solution of 31 (1.1 g, 2.4

mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was treated with NaOMe (50 mg) at 50°C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was neutralised with Amberlite IR-120 (H⁺) ion-exchange resin and concentrated in vacuo. The solid residue was recrystallised from 4:1 cyclohexane–EtOAc (10 mL) to give 33 (610 mg, 80.6%); mp 160–161°C; $[\alpha]_{0}^{20} + 217.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.65, MeOH); TLC (K): R_f 0.16; ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 8.20 and 7.28 (2 d, each 2 H, p-NO₂Ph), 5.79 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 3.5 Hz, H-1), 3.90–3.48 (m, 6 H), and 3.72 (s, 3 H, OCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₇NO₈: C, 49.68; H, 5.43. Found: C, 49.74; H, 5.49.

p-Nitrophenyl 3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (34).—Compound 34 was prepared from 32 by the above method, with 85.2% yield. Compound 34 had mp 139.5–140°C (from MeOH); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 78.2° (c 0.51, MeOH); TLC (K): R_f 0.19; ¹H NMR (D₂O): δ 8.26 and 7.24 (2 d, each 2 H, p-NO₂Ph), 5.28 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 3.99–3.40 (m, 6 H), and 3.68 (OCH₃). Sugar analysis, as described above for 33, gave the same results for 34.

p-Nitrophenyl 2,3-di-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (35).—A stirred solution of 34 in dry DMF (5 mL) was cooled in an ice-water bath, and 80% NaH (0.9 equiv, 77 mg) and MeI (0.9 equiv, 0.16 mL) were added. After 10 min, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. TLC patterns (*B*): 60% of 35, 10% of starting material, and 30% of by-products. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*B*) to give 35 (535 mg, 57%); mp 155-156°C (from EtOAc-n-hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 94.0° (*c* 0.40); TLC (*B*): R_f 0.37; ¹H NMR (400 MHz): δ 8.18 and 7.20 (2 d, each 2 H, *p*-NO₂Ph), 5.04 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 4.42 (d, 1 H, $J_{4,OH}$ 4.5 Hz, HO-4), 3.85 (m, 2 H, H₂-6), 3.67 and 3.64 (2 s, each 3 H, 2 OCH₃), 3.61 (m, 1 H, H-4), 3.47 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.44 (t, 1 H, $J_{6,OH}$ 6.5 Hz), 3.33 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 9 Hz, H-2), and 3.26 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz, H-3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz): δ 161.92, 142.60, 125.77 and 116.40 (*p*-NO₂Ph), 100.60 (C-1), 85.59 (C-2), 83.12 (C-3), 76.28 (C-5), 69.82 (C-4), 61.83 (C-6), 61.03 (OCH₃-3), and 60.59 (OCH₃-2). Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₉NO₈: C, 51.06; H, 5.81. Found: C, 51.11; H, 5.87.

p-Nitrophenyl 4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (36).—A mixture of 33 (1.46 g, 4.63 mmol), 2,2-dimethoxypropane (40 mL), and p-toluene-sulfonic acid (30 mg) was stirred overnight, then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL), washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue crystallised from EtOAc-n-hexane to give 36 (1.38 g, 83.9%); mp 139–140°C; $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$ – 75.8° (c 0.82); TLC (C): R_f 0.30; ¹H NMR: δ 8.21 and 7.10 (2 m, each 2 H, p-NO₂Ph), 5.11 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 5.5, J_{gem} 11 Hz, H-6a), 3.97–3.70 (m, 3 H), 3.66 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.49 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.39 (t, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 9 Hz, H-3), 2.82 (d, 1 H, $J_{2,OH}$ 3 Hz, OH), 1.53 and 1.45 (2 s, each 3 H, C(CH₃)₂). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₈: C, 54.08; H, 5.95. Found: C, 54.12; H, 5.98.

p-Nitrophenyl 2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-isopropylidene-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (37).—A stirred solution of 36 (1.5 g, 4.2 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was cooled in an ice-water bath, and 80% NaH (1 equiv, 130 mg) and benzyl bromide (1.1 equiv, 0.55 mL) were added. After 10 min, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc (200 mL),

washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (I) to give **37** as a yellow syrup (1.6 g, 85.5%); [α]_D -53.3° (c 0.76); TLC (I): R_f 0.31; ¹H NMR: δ 8.24–7.03 (m, 9 H, p-NO₂Ph and Ph), 5.15 (d, 1 H, $J_{5.6a}$ 7.5, $J_{\rm gem}$ 10.5 Hz, II-6a), 4.85 (s, 2 H, OC H_2 Ph), 3.98 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3.4}$ 9.5, $J_{4.5}$ 5.5 Hz, H-4), 3.85–3.72 (m, 2 H, H-2,4), 3.70 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6b}$ 2.5 Hz, H-6b), 3.64 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.50–3.37 (m, 2 H, H-3,5), 1.52 and 1.45 (2 s, each 3 H, C(CH₃)₂). Anal. Calcd f or C₂₃H₂₇NO₈: C, 62.01; H, 6.10. Found: C, 62.10; H, 6.15.

p-Nitrophenyl 2-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (38).—A stirred solution of 37 (0.90 g , 2.02 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL) was treated at room temperature with trifluoroacetic acid (5 mL) containing 1% of water. After 10 min, the solution was concentrated in vacuo. The solid residue (0.7 g) crystallised from EtOH (15 mL) to give 38 (0.68 g, 83%); mp 188–190°C; $[\alpha]_D$ –74.3° (0.28, MeOH); TLC (B): R_f 0.46; ¹H NMR: δ 8.26–7.04 (m, 9 H, p-NO₂Ph and Ph), 5.16 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 4.94 and 4.81 (2 d, 2 H, J_{gem} 11 Hz, OC H_2 Ph), 3.96 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 3, J_{gem} 12 Hz, H-6a), 3.82 (dd 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.5 Hz, H-6b), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.65–3.51 (m, 4H), 3.36 (m, 1 H, H-5), 2.61 and 1.95 (2 s, 2 H, 2 OH). Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{23}NO_8$: C, 59.25; H, 5.72. Found: C, 59.32; H, 5.76.

p-Nitrophenyl 2-O-benzyl-3,4-di-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (39).—A stirred solution of 38 (280 mg, 0.69 mmol) in dry DMF (3 mL) was cooled in an ice-water bath, and 80% NaH (0.9 equiv, 18 mg) and MeI (0.9 equiv, 0.038 mL) were added. After 10 min, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product crystallised from EtOAc-cyclohexane to give white crystalline 39 (120 mg, 41%); mp 178–179°C; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ – 67.5° (c 0.64); TLC (G): R_f 0.25; ¹H NMR: δ 8.24–7.00 (m, 9 H, p-NO₂Ph and Ph), 5.09 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 4.90 and 4.82 (2 d, 2 H, $J_{\rm gem}$ 11 Hz, OC H_2 PH), 3.93 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 3, $J_{6a,\rm OH}$ 6, $J_{\rm gem}$ 12 Hz, H-6a), 3.77 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.5, $J_{6b,\rm OH}$ 8 Hz, H-6b), 3.69 and 3.60 (2 s, each 3 H, 2 OCH₃), 3.59 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 9 Hz, H-2), 3.45 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.39 (t, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 9 Hz), 3.27 (t, 1 H, H-3), and 1.86 (dd, 1 H, HO-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}NO_8$: C, 60.13; H, 6.00. Found: C, 60.11; H, 6.03.

p-Nitrophenyl 2,4-di-O-benzyl-3-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (40).—A stirred solution of 38 (380 mg, 0.94 mmol) in dry DMF (5 mL) was cooled in an ice-water bath, and 80% NaH (0.9 equiv, 25 mg) and benzyl bromide (0.9 equiv, 0.10 mL) were added. After 5 min, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (*E*) to give 40 (180 mg, 39%); mp 172–174°C (from EtOH); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 56.4^\circ$ (*c* 0.07); TLC (*E*): R_f 0.36; ¹H NMR: δ 8.25–7.00 (m, 14 H, *p*-NO₂Ph and 2 Ph), 5.11 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 4.92, 4.90, 4.83, and 4.68 (4 d, 4 H, each $J_{\rm gem}$ 11 Hz, 2 OC H_2 Ph), 3.89 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 2.5, $J_{6a,OH}$ 6, $J_{\rm gem}$ 12 Hz, H-6a), 3.71 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.5, $J_{6b,OH}$ 8 Hz, H-6b), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), 3.64–3.43 (m, 4 H), and 1.76 (dd, 1 H, OH). Anal. Calcd for $C_{27}H_{29}NO_8$: C, 65.45; H, 8.34. Found: C, 65.43; H, 8.31.

p-Nitrophenyl 2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl-β-p-glucopyranoside (41).—A stirred solution of 40 (150 mg, 0.25 mmol) in dry DMF (3 mL) was cooled in an ice-water bath, and 80% NaH (2 equiv, 18 mg) and MeI (2 equiv, 0.037 mL) were added. After 5 min, TLC (*I*) indicated complete conversion. The excess of NaH was decomposed by addition of MeOH, and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with water until neutral, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The residue crystallised from EtOH (8 mL) to give 41 (130 mg, 81.2%); mp 160–162°C; [α]_D²⁰ -43.6° (*c* 0.23); TLC (*I*): R_f 0.32; ¹H NMR: δ 8.23–7.01 (m, 14 H, *p*-NO₂Ph and 2 Ph), 5.04 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 4.92, 4.89, 4.83, and 4.64 (4 d, 4 H, each J_{gem} 11 Hz, 2 OC H_2 Ph), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-3), 3.68–3.40 (m, 6 H), and 3.34 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-6); ¹³C NMR: δ 161.89, 142.75, 125.73, and 116.40 (*p*-NO₂Ph), 100.59 (C-1), 86.51–81.53 (C-2,3,4), 77.05 (C-5), 74.97 (2 OCH₂Ph), 70.86 (C-6), 61.35, and 59.32 (2 OCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₁NO₈: C, 66.00; H, 6.13. Found: C, 66.07; H, 6.15.

p-Trifluoroacetamidophenyl 2,4-di-O-benzyl-3,6-di-O-methyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (42).—A solution of 41 (100 mg, 0.20 mol) in EtOAc (10 mL) was hydrogenated over Adams' catalyst (30 mg) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 1 h, reduction of the nitro group was complete (TLC in C, R_f 0.23), then trifluoroacetic anhydride (10 equiv, 0.28 mL) and pyridine (0.6 mL) were added, and the mixture was kept at 60°C for 1 h, then filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. A solution of the residue in CH_2Cl_2 (70 mL) was washed with water, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated. The solid, brown residue was purified by column chromatography (H) to give white crystalline 42 (110 mg, 95.5%); mp 178–180°C (from EtOH); $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 15.7^\circ$ (c 0.76); TLC (H): R_f 0.44; ¹H NMR: δ 7.97 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.48–6.97 (m, 14 H, p-NHCOCF₃Ph and 2 Ph), 4.96, 4.88, 4.80, and 4.63 (4 d, 4 H, each J_{gem} 11 Hz, 2 OC H_2 Ph), 4.93 (d, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 3.68 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-3), 3.65–3.38 (m, 6 H), and 3.34 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-6). Anal. Calcd for $C_{30}H_{32}F_3NO_7$: C, 62.60; H, 5.60. Found: C, 62.64; H, 5.65.

p-*Trifluoroacetamidophenyl* 3,6-di-O-methyl-β-D-glucopyranoside (43).—A solution of 42 (70 mg, 0.12 mmol) in EtOH (8 mL) was hydrogenated over 10% Pd–C (20 mg) at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. After 10 h, TLC (*B*) indicated complete conversion, and the mixture was filtered through a layer of Celite and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography (*B*) to give crystalline 43 (44 mg, 93%); mp 188–189°C (from EtOAC–nhexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –46.8° (*c* 0.45, MeOH); TLC (*B*): R_f 0.31; ¹H NMR: δ 7.92 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.62 and 7.05 (2 m, each 2 H, *p*-NO₂Ph), 4.86 (d, 1 H, $I_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-1), 3.70 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-3), 3.68–3.50 (m, 5 H), 3.38 (s, 3 H, OCH₃-6), 3.25 (t, 1 H, $I_{2,3}$ 9 Hz, H-3), 2.30 and 2.00 (2 s, 2 H, 2 OH). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀F₃NO₇: C, 48.61; H, 5.09. Found: C, 48.67; H, 5.12.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge Financial support from the National Science Foundation (OTKA 1666).

REFERENCES

- 1 S.W. Hunter and P.J. Brennan, J. Bacteriol., 147 (1981) 728-735.
- 2 S.W. Hunter, T. Fujiwara, and P.J. Brennan, J. Biol. Chem., 257 (1982) 15072-15078.
- 3 S.N. Payne, P. Draper, and R.J.W. Rees, Int. J. Lepr., 50 (1982) 220-221.
- 4 S.J. Brett, P. Draper, S.N. Payne, and R.J.W. Rees, Clin. Exp. Immunol., 52 (1983) 271-279.
- 5 D.B. Young, S. Dissanayake, R.A. Miller, S.R. Khanolkar, and T.M. Buchanan, J. Infect. Dis., 149 (1984) 870–873.
- 6 D.B. Young and T.M. Buchanan, Science, 221 (1983) 1057-1059.
- 7 T.M. Buchanan, D.B. Young, R.A. Miller, and S.R. Khanolkar, Int. J. Lepr., 51 (1983) 524-530.
- 8 T. Fujiwara, S.W. Hunter, S.-N. Cho, G.O. Aspinall, and P.J. Brennan, *Infect Immun.*, 43 (1984) 245-252.
- S.-N. Cho, T. Fujiwara, S.W. Hunter, T.H. Rhea, R.H. Gelber, and P.J. Brennan, J. Infect. Dis., 50 (1984) 311–322.
- 10 S.J. Brett, S.N. Payne, J. Gigg, P. Burgess, and R. Gigg, Clin. Exp. Immunol., 64 (1986) 476-483.
- 11 S.-N. Cho, D.L. Yanagihara, S.W. Hunter R.H. Gelber, and P.J. Brennan, Infect. Immun., 41 (1983) 1077-1083.
- 12 J. Gigg, R. Gigg, S. Payne, and R. Conant, Chem. Phys. Lipids, 35 (1985) 299-307.
- 13 T. Fujiwara, G.O. Aspinall, S.W. Hunter, and P.J. Brennan, Carbohydr. Res., 163 (1987) 41-52.
- 14 T. Fujiwara and S. Izumi, Agric. Biol. Chem., 51 (1987) 2539-2547.
- 15 D. Chatterjee, S.-N. Cho, C. Stewart, J.T. Douglas, T. Fujiwara, and P.J. Brennan, Carbohydr. Res., 183 (1988) 241–260.
- J. Marino-Albernas, V. Verez-Bencomo, L. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, C.S. Perez-Martinez, E. Gonzalez-Abreu Castell, and A. Gonzalez-Segredo, Carbohydr. Res., 183 (1988) 175–182.
- 17 R. Gigg, S. Payne, and R. Conant, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 2 (1983) 207-223.
- 18 J. Gigg, R. Gigg, S. Payne, and R. Conant, Carbohydr. Res., 141 (1985) 91-97.
- 19 T. Fujiwara, S. Izumi, and P.J. Brennan, Agric. Biol. Chem., 49 (1985) 2301–2308.
- 20 T. Fujiwara, S.W. Hunter, and P.J. Brennan, Carbohydr. Res., 148 (1986) 287-298.
- 21 J. Marino-Albernas, V. Verez-Bencomo, L. Gonzalez-Rodriguez, and C.S. Perez-Martinez, Carbo-hydr. Res., 165 (1987) 197–206.
- 22 K. Bock, T. Hvidt, J. Marino-Albernas, and V. Verez-Bencomo, Carbohydr. Res., 200 (1990) 33-45.
- 23 P.J. Garegg, T. Norberg, P. Konradsson, and S.C.T. Svensson, Carbohydr. Res., 122 (1983) 165-167.
- 24 A. Lipták, J. Imre, and P. Nánási, Carbohydr. Res., 92 (1981) 154-156.
- 25 G. Medgyes, I. Pelczer, and J. Kuszmann, Carbohydr. Res., 96 (1981) 306-311.
- 26 P. Fügedi and P. Nánási, J. Carbohydr., Nucleosides, Nucleotides, 8 (1981) 547-555.
- 27 C. Augé, S. David, and A. Veyrieres, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1976) 375-376.
- 28 A. Lipták, L. Szabó, and J. Harangi, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 7 (1988) 687-699.
- 29 Z. Szurmai and A. Lipták, Carbohydr, Res., 107 (1982) 33-41.
- 30 Z. Szurmai, A. Lipták, and G. Snatzke, Carbohydr. Res., 200 (1990) 201-208.
- 31 A. Lipták, I. Jodál, and P. Nánási, Carbohydr. Res., 44 (1975) 1-11.
- 32 A. Borbás, J. Hajkó, and A. Lipták, J. Carbohydr. Chem., submitted.
- 33 J. Hajkó, A. Borbás, A. Lipták, and M. Kajtàr-Peredy, Carbohydr. Res., 216 (1991) 413-420.
- 34 H. Lönn, Carbohydr. Res., 139 (1985) 105-113.
- 35 G. Ekborg, P.J. Garegg, and B. Gotthammar, Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 29 (1975) 765-771.
- 36 K. Eklind, P.J. Garegg, and B. Gotthammar, Acia Chem. Scand., Ser. B, 30 (1976) 305-308.
- 37 G.H. Veeneman, L.F.J. Gomes, and J.H. van Boom, *Tetrahedron*, 45 (1989) 7433-7448.
- 38 J. Kihlberg, D.A. Leigh, and D.R. Bundle, J. Org. Chem., 55 (1990) 2860-2863; F.-I. Auzanneau and D.R. Bundle, Carbohydr. Res., 212 (1991) 13-24.
- 39 A. Lipták, A. Borbás, J. Kerékgyártó, and I. Bajza, J. Carbohydr. Chem., submitted.
- 40 K. Freudenberg and R. Hixon, Ber., 56 (1923) 2125-2127.
- 41 P.J. Garegg and T. Norberg, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1982) 2973-2982.